

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

HERMÈS INTERNATIONAL and  
HERMÈS OF PARIS, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

-v-

MASON ROTHSCHILD,

Defendant.

1:22-cv-384-JSR

**VERDICT**

1. On Hermès' claim for trademark infringement, we the jury find the defendant Mason Rothschild:

Liable

Not Liabe

2. On Hermès' claim for trademark dilution, we the jury find the defendant Mason Rothschild:

Liabe

Not Liabe

3. On Hermès' claim for cybersquatting, we the jury find the defendant Mason Rothschild:

Liabe

Not Liabe

[If you find "Not-Liable" on all of the above claims, leave the remaining three questions blank. If you find "Liable" on one or more of the above claims, proceed to Question 4.]

4. Having found the defendant liable for trademark infringement and/or trademark dilution and/or cybersquatting, we the jury find that the First Amendment protection nonetheless:

Bars Liability

Does Not Bar Liability


[If you find "Bars Liability" on the above question, leave Questions 5 and 6 blank. If you find "Does Not Bar Liability," proceed to Questions 5 and 6.]

5. Having found the defendant liable for trademark infringement and/or trademark dilution and having further found that the First Amendment protection does not bar liability, we the jury award Hermès the following net profits earned by Mason Rothschild:

\$ 110,000

6. Having found the defendant liable for cybersquatting and having further found that the First Amendment protection does not bar liability, we the jury award Hermès the following statutory damages for cybersquatting:

\$ 23,000

  
FOREPERSON

Date: 2/08/2023